Ī	Case 1:21-cv-00074-AWI-EPG Documer	nt 35 Filed 08/03/22 Page 1 of 2
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
8	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
9		
10	RUDY RAMOS,	Case No. 1:21-cv-00074-AWI-EPG
11	Plaintiff,	
12	v.	ORDER REQUIRING BRIEFING
13	WAL-MART ASSOCIATES, INC., et al.,	(ECF No. 28)
14	Defendants.	
15		
16	At a settlement conference on March 29, 2022, the parties reached a settlement of material	
17	terms in this action, with final terms to be reduced to writing following the settlement conference.	
18	(ECF Nos. 21-22). Thereafter, the parties reported that they were unable to resolve certain terms	
19	of their settlement agreement. (ECF No. 26). The Court held a status conference on July 19, 2022,	
20	thereafter, directing each party to file a document indicating whether they consent or decline to	
21	consent to the undersigned making a binding determination regarding the scope and wording of	
22	any confidentiality provision, or lack thereof, in the parties' settlement agreement. (ECF No. 28).	
23	Both parties have since consented in writing to the undersigned making a binding determination	
24	on this issue. (ECF Nos. 31, 34).	
25	\\\	
26	\\\ 	
27		
28	\\\	1
		-

## Accordingly, IT IS ORDERED as follows: 1. By no later than August 19, 2022, each party shall email to <a href="mailto:epgorders@caed.uscourts.gov">epgorders@caed.uscourts.gov</a> (with opposing counsel copied) a brief not longer than three pages containing their position regarding the dispute. This brief shall not be filed on the record given that it may contain confidential settlement information. 2. Additionally, each party shall attach the proposed settlement agreement to their brief featuring the party's redlined edits of how the party believes the settlement agreement should read as to the disputed portion of the settlement agreement. IT IS SO ORDERED. UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE Dated: **August 3, 2022**

Case 1:21-cv-00074-AWI-EPG Document 35 Filed 08/03/22 Page 2 of 2